

## **Medical Policy Manual**

Surgery, Policy No. 147

# Ovarian, Internal Iliac, and Gonadal Vein Embolization, Ablation, and Sclerotherapy

Effective: July 1, 2023

Next Review: April 2024 Last Review: May 2023

#### IMPORTANT REMINDER

Medical Policies are developed to provide guidance for members and providers regarding coverage in accordance with contract terms. Benefit determinations are based in all cases on the applicable contract language. To the extent there may be any conflict between the Medical Policy and contract language, the contract language takes precedence.

PLEASE NOTE: Contracts exclude from coverage, among other things, services or procedures that are considered investigational or cosmetic. Providers may bill members for services or procedures that are considered investigational or cosmetic. Providers are encouraged to inform members before rendering such services that the members are likely to be financially responsible for the cost of these services.

#### **DESCRIPTION**

Embolization involves occlusion of blood flow through the ovarian, internal iliac, and gonadal veins with coils, foam, or a chemical sclerosant as a treatment of pelvic congestion syndrome or varicoceles.

## MEDICAL POLICY CRITERIA

**Note:** This policy does not address surgical ligation of the spermatic vein(s) or uterine artery embolization.

Embolization, ablation, and sclerotherapy of ovarian veins, internal iliac veins, or gonadal veins is considered **investigational** for the treatment of pelvic congestion syndrome and varicoceles.

NOTE: A summary of the supporting rationale for the policy criteria is at the end of the policy.

#### **CROSS REFERENCES**

1. Varicose Vein Treatment, Surgery, Policy No. 104

#### BACKGROUND

Enlarged ovarian and internal iliac veins can lead to pelvic congestion syndrome in women, and enlarged gonadal and internal iliac veins can lead to a varicoceles in men. Each are discussed separately below.

#### PELVIC CONGESTION SYNDROME

Pelvic congestion syndrome (PCS), also called pelvic venous incompetence, is a rare condition characterized by chronic pelvic pain. Although this condition is primarily found in women it can also be found in men. PCS is often aggravated by standing for long periods of time, and often manifests during or after pregnancy. The syndrome is thought to be associated with dilated and refluxing incompetent pelvic veins, similar to what happens in varicose veins of the legs. However, the cause of PCS is unclear. Furthermore, there are no definitive diagnostic criteria for PCS. Instead the diagnosis is generally based on a combination of symptoms, tenderness on physical exam, and documentation of pelvic vein dilation or incompetence after excluding all other causes for the nonspecific findings. Although imaging may show vein dilation or incompetence, these findings are common nonspecific findings and therefore no diagnostic.

There is no standard treatment approach for PCS, and the optimum treatment is unknown. Instead, therapy is individualized and based on symptoms. Medical therapy is generally the first line of treatment, as it is low risk and non-invasive. Other methods, such as embolization has been proposed as an alternative to surgical treatment for patients who fail medical therapy with analgesics. Embolization therapy involves the occlusion of blood flow through the ovarian and internal iliac veins with coils, glue, or chemical sclerosants. The internal iliac veins may be treated at the same time or a later date to prevent recurrence.

#### **VARICOCELES**

A varicocele is the dilation of the pampiniform plexus of the gonadal veins. Varicocele's are present in 15 to 20% of post-pubertal males, and generally get larger over time. Most varicoceles occur in the left hemiscrotum because the left gonadal vein is one of the longest veins in the body and it enters the left renal vein at a perpendicular angle increasing pressure which can dilate the veins and cause incompetence of the valves, similar to what happens in varicose veins of the legs. Although varicoceles on the left are more common, bilateral varicoceles can occur; however, this could be caused by a possible underlying pathology warranting more investigation. Symptoms of a varicocele include dull, aching, left scrotal pain, which is often aggravated by standing for long periods of time, testicular atrophy, and decreased fertility. Although there are no clear guidelines regarding the established treatment for varicoceles, surgical ligation is the preferred first-line treatment.

#### **EVIDENCE SUMMARY**

The primary beneficial outcomes of interest for treatments of pelvic pain in both men and woman are symptom reduction and improvement in the ability to function. These are subjective outcomes that are typically associated with a placebo effect. Therefore, data from adequately powered, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) with sufficient long-term follow-up are required to control for the placebo effect, determine its magnitude, and to determine whether any treatment effect from provides a significant advantage over placebo or other treatment options.

#### TREATMENT FOR PELVIC CONGESTION SYNDROME

## **Health Technology Assessments**

In 2016, Champaneria published a health technology assessment from the National Institute for Health Research that examined the diagnosis and treatment of pelvic vein incompetence and chronic pelvic pain in women. Forty studies were included in the review; six association studies, ten studies involving ultrasound, two studies involving magnetic resonance venography, 21 case series, and one poor-quality randomized trial of embolization. The authors found that there were no consistent diagnostic criteria for pelvic congestion syndrome (PCS). Although the studies have showed associations between chronic pelvic pain (CPP) and pelvic vein incompetence (PVI), the prevalence of PVI ranged widely. The authors identified that transvaginal ultrasound with doppler and magnetic resonance venography are both useful screening methods; however, there is limited data on the accuracy of these methods for PCS. Finally, although the research showed embolization provides symptomatic relief in the majority of women, these studies were small case series. The authors concluded that more research is needed to determine what the diagnostic criteria for PCS are, and the efficacy of embolization as a treatment for PCS.

# **Systematic Reviews**

Sutanto (2022) published a systematic review to study the efficacy and safety of the use of percutaneous coil embolization (CE) in isolation for pelvic venous reflux (PVR). A total of 970 patients (range, 3-218, 100% female) undergoing isolated ovarian vein or mixed veins embolization from 20 studies were included. Pooled analysis revealed mean improvements of 5.47 points (95% CI, 4.77-6.16) on the visual analogue scale. Common symptoms such as urinary urgency and dyspareunia reported significant improvements of 78-100% and 60-89.5% respectively. Two randomized controlled trials revealed improved clinical outcomes with CE as compared with vascular plugs and hysterectomy. While this data suggests that isolated CE is technically effective and can result in clinical improvement among patients with PVR, further trials are required to ascertain the long-term effects.

A 2016 systematic review by Mahmoud identified 20 case series (total N=1081 patients) who underwent vein embolization for pelvic congestion syndrome. The authors did not require any particular diagnostic criteria for pelvic congestion syndrome. The length of follow-up in the studies ranged from one month to six years. Seventeen studies (n=648 patients) reported the proportion of patients who reported symptom relief. Overall, 571 (88.1%) patients reported short-term symptom relief and 77 (11.9%) reported little or no relief. Seventeen studies (n=721 patients) reported symptom relief at 12 months. A total of 88.6% had symptom improvement and 13.4% reported little or no relief. Only one study used a comparison group, but patients in it received conservative treatment because they were ineligible for vein embolization therapy, so outcomes after the two interventions cannot be compared.

A systematic review by Daniels (2016) assessed the effectiveness of sclerotherapy or embolization for the treatment of chronic pelvic pain. The review included 21 case series and one poor-quality randomized trial. Due to the overall low quality and heterogeneity of the studies, a meta-analysis was not performed. However, the authors reported that approximately 75% of women who underwent embolization experienced early pain relief. Adverse events noted included, transient pain following foam embolization and a small (<2%) risk of coil migration.

In 2015 Hansrani published a systematic review that evaluated the effectiveness of transvenous occlusion as a treatment of chronic pelvic pain. [5] Thirteen studies were included comprising 866 women. The authors noted that all 13 studies were of poor methodological quality, and most studies did not use objective outcome measures or have consistent follow-up of outcomes. Studies on embolization for treatment of PCS were rated as poor due to lack of randomization and control groups, unclear patient selection criteria, and heterogeneous outcome measures that did not permit between-study comparison or estimates of overall treatment effects. There was one RCT included in the review, in which embolization resulted in significantly better pain reduction than hysterectomy, but the study also had significant limitations, including but not limited to, the randomization protocol was not described, and the hysterectomy patients (bilateral compared to unilateral salpingo-oophorectomy) were not blinded to their treatment allocation, small sample size limits the ability to rule out the role of chance as an explanation of study findings, and a discrepancy between reported outcomes in text and data tables. The authors recommended that more high quality studies are needed that compare embolization, with other treatments, including surgical treatments, hormonal therapy, and other noninvasive treatments.

#### **Randomized Controlled Trials**

A randomized, prospective trial by Guirola (2018) compared the safety and efficacy of embolization with vascular plugs (VP) or fibered platinum coils (FPC) in women with pelvic congestion syndrome. [6] Patients were enrolled (N=100) and randomly assigned to each treatment group via block randomization (N=50). Diagnosis of pelvic congestion syndrome was accomplished through a symptom screening questionnaire followed by an ultrasound study. Patients with 3 or more positive symptom responses advanced to the ultrasound screening, and patients with pelvic veins >6 mm in diameter and/or venous reflux or dilated midline communicating veins were advanced to randomization. Follow-up screening occurred at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months. The primary outcome was clinical success assessed subjectively through patient responses regarding relief of symptoms and pain scores assessed with the visual analog scale. Clinical success was achieved in 89.7% of the FPC group and 90.6% of the VP group. Improvement in visual analog scale pain scores at the end of 12 months was 90.2% overall and improvement was seen in 95.9% of the FPC group and 96% of the VP group. A total of 11 (22%) complications were seen in the FPC group and 5 (10%) in the VP group. Minor adverse events included access site hematoma and ovarian vein extravasation. Device migrations were considered major complications. A major limitation in the study is the significant difference in age and pre-treatment visual analog scale pain score between groups, both of which were higher in the VP group despite randomization.

## **Nonrandomized Studies**

The remainder of the published literature regarding the clinical outcomes of embolization therapy consists of nonrandomized studies, case series, and retrospective reviews.<sup>[7-29]</sup> Collectively, conclusions concerning safety and effectiveness cannot be reached from these studies due to significant limitations in the data, including but not limited to:

• Lack of established diagnostic criteria for pelvic congestion syndrome. Without consistent criteria for patient selection it is unknown which patients are most likely to benefit, or not benefit, from treatment. Furthermore, it is unknown how results from the various case series can be applied to the overall population of patients with this condition.

- Lack of randomization and comparison groups. Failure to randomize patients to different treatment groups may introduce bias on the part of both the study participant and researchers in favor of the new technology. As noted above, for pain treatments, a comparator (preferably sham treatment) is necessary, in order to guard against this bias and to distinguish treatment from placebo effects.
- Retrospective design and failure to control for other treatments. Retrospective study
  designs do not allow for control of co-treatments or confounding factors that may influence
  results. This design may also introduce bias to interpretation of results. Control for
  additional factors, such as other medical therapies, is necessary to isolate treatment
  response to embolization therapy.
- Failure to define relevant study endpoints. Bias may also be introduced by failure to define study endpoints and treatment success prior to commencement of the study.

#### **Adverse Effects**

The following adverse effects associated with embolization of the uterine and internal iliac veins, though uncommon, have been reported in the literature.<sup>[7, 15]</sup>

- Embolization of coils to the pulmonary circulation
- Embolization of coils to the renal circulation
- Accidental embolization of glue fragments
- Perforations of the ovarian vein with extravasation of contrast
- Transient cardiac arrhythmia

#### Treatment of Varicoceles

# Systematic Reviews

Belczak (2021) published a systematic review regarding semen parameter improvement after varicocele coil embolization.<sup>[30]</sup> There were six retrospective studies and two observational studies included involving 701 patients where semen concentration and motility were the primary outcomes. The authors concluded that semen concentration was improved significantly in all five studies using that outcome and semen motility was significantly improved in seven studies. This review is limited by a small number of studies and no randomized or comparative studies being included.

In 2012 Kroese published results from a systematic review and meta-analysis that examined the effect of treatment, surgery or embolization, for varicoceles in subfertile men.<sup>[31]</sup> Ten studies were included in the review, which comprised 894 men. The authors concluded that there is evidence to suggest treatment improves a couple's chance of pregnancy; however, findings are inconclusive. Furthermore, the available evidence is of low quality and limited to men from couples with subfertility problems. Therefore further research is needed to determine the efficacy of treatment, surgery or embolization, for the treatment of varicoceles.

#### Randomized-Controlled Trials

No randomized controlled trials have been published comparing embolization therapy for the treatment of varicoceles to an alternative or sham/placebo treatment. Randomized controlled trials are especially needed in situations such as this where the primary symptom is pain, a subjective outcome for which a placebo response to treatment is likely.

## Nonrandomized studies

The remainder of the published literature regarding the clinical outcomes of embolization therapy consists of case series and retrospective reviews. [32-49] Collectively, conclusions concerning safety and effectiveness cannot be reached from these studies due to significant limitations in the data.

# PRACTICE GUIDELINE SUMMARY

#### PELVIC CONGESTION SYNDROME

## **American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists**

No relevant policy positions on embolization for treating pelvic congestion syndrome were identified on the American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) website.<sup>[50]</sup>

# Society for Vascular Surgery (SVS) and the American Venous Forum

The 2011 Society for Vascular Surgery (SVS) and the American Venous Forum (AVF) guidelines for the care of patients with varicose veins and associated chronic venous diseases provided a Grade 2B recommendation in favor of coil embolization, plugs, or transcatheter sclerotherapy for treatment of PCS. A Grade 2B recommendation is defined as a weak recommendation based on medium quality evidence.<sup>[51]</sup>

## **SUMMARY**

There is not enough research to show that embolization, ablation, or sclerotherapy improves long term health outcomes for people with pelvic congestion syndrome or varicoceles, compared to other forms of therapy. Therefore, embolization, ablation, or sclerotherapy of ovarian veins, internal iliac veins, or gonadal veins are considered investigational for the treatment of pelvic congestion syndrome or varicoceles.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Champaneria R, Shah L, Moss J, et al. The relationship between pelvic vein incompetence and chronic pelvic pain in women: systematic reviews of diagnosis and treatment effectiveness. *Health Technol Assess.* 2016;20(5):1-108. PMID: 26789334
- 2. Sutanto SA, Tan M, Onida S, et al. A systematic review on isolated coil embolization for pelvic venous reflux. *Journal of vascular surgery Venous and lymphatic disorders*. 2022;10(1):224-32 e9. PMID: 34358670
- 3. Mahmoud O, Vikatmaa P, Aho P, et al. Efficacy of endovascular treatment for pelvic congestion syndrome. *Journal of vascular surgery Venous and lymphatic disorders*. 2016;4(3):355-70. PMID: 27318059
- Daniels JP, Champaneria R, Shah L, et al. Effectiveness of Embolization or Sclerotherapy of Pelvic Veins for Reducing Chronic Pelvic Pain: A Systematic Review. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2016;27(10):1478-86 e8. PMID: 27397619
- 5. Hansrani V, Abbas A, Bhandari S, et al. Trans-venous occlusion of incompetent pelvic veins for chronic pelvic pain in women: a systematic review. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2015;185:156-63. PMID: 25590499

- 6. Guirola JA, Sánchez-Ballestin M, Sierre S, et al. A Randomized Trial of Endovascular Embolization Treatment in Pelvic Congestion Syndrome: Fibered Platinum Coils versus Vascular Plugs with 1-Year Clinical Outcomes. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2018;29(1):45-53. PMID: 29174618
- 7. Maleux G, Stockx L, Wilms G, et al. Ovarian vein embolization for the treatment of pelvic congestion syndrome: long-term technical and clinical results. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2000;11(7):859-64. PMID: 10928522
- 8. Venbrux AC, Chang AH, Kim HS, et al. Pelvic congestion syndrome (pelvic venous incompetence): impact of ovarian and internal iliac vein embolotherapy on menstrual cycle and chronic pelvic pain. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2002;13(2 Pt 1):171-8. PMID: 11830623
- 9. Sichlau MJ, Yao JS, Vogelzang RL. Transcatheter embolotherapy for the treatment of pelvic congestion syndrome. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1994;83(5 Pt 2):892-6. PMID: 8159389
- 10. Venbrux AC, Lambert DL. Embolization of the ovarian veins as a treatment for patients with chronic pelvic pain caused by pelvic venous incompetence (pelvic congestion syndrome). *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol.* 1999;11(4):395-9. PMID: 10498026
- 11. Tarazov PG, Prozorovskij KV, Ryzhkov VK. Pelvic pain syndrome caused by ovarian varices. Treatment by transcatheter embolization. *Acta Radiol.* 1997;38(6):1023-5. PMID: 9394662
- 12. Cordts PR, Eclavea A, Buckley PJ, et al. Pelvic congestion syndrome: early clinical results after transcatheter ovarian vein embolization. *J Vasc Surg.* 1998;28(5):862-8. PMID: 9808854
- 13. Kim HS, Malhotra AD, Rowe PC, et al. Embolotherapy for pelvic congestion syndrome: long-term results. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2006;17(2 Pt 1):289-97. PMID: 16517774
- 14. Kwon SH, Oh JH, Ko KR, et al. Transcatheter ovarian vein embolization using coils for the treatment of pelvic congestion syndrome. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2007;30(4):655-61. PMID: 17468903
- 15. Creton D, Hennequin L, Kohler F, et al. Embolisation of symptomatic pelvic veins in women presenting with non-saphenous varicose veins of pelvic origin three-year follow-up. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2007;34(1):112-7. PMID: 17336555
- 16. Gandini R, Chiocchi M, Konda D, et al. Transcatheter foam sclerotherapy of symptomatic female varicocele with sodium-tetradecyl-sulfate foam. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2008;31(4):778-84. PMID: 18172712
- 17. Tropeano G, Di Stasi C, Amoroso S, et al. Ovarian vein incompetence: a potential cause of chronic pelvic pain in women. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2008;139(2):215-21. PMID: 18313828
- 18. Pieri S, Agresti P, Morucci M, et al. Percutaneous treatment of pelvic congestion syndrome. *Radiol Med.* 2003;105(1-2):76-82. PMID: 12700549
- 19. Capasso P, Simons C, Trotteur G, et al. Treatment of symptomatic pelvic varices by ovarian vein embolization. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 1997;20(2):107-11. PMID: 9030500
- 20. Asciutto G, Asciutto KC, Mumme A, et al. Pelvic venous incompetence: reflux patterns and treatment results. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2009;38(3):381-6. PMID: 19574069
- 21. van der Vleuten CJ, van Kempen JA, Schultze-Kool LJ. Embolization to treat pelvic congestion syndrome and vulval varicose veins. *International journal of gynaecology and obstetrics: the official organ of the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics.* 2012;118(3):227-30. PMID: 22727416
- 22. Edo Prades MA, Ferrer Puchol MD, Esteban Hernandez E, et al. Pelvic congestion syndrome: outcome after embolization with coils. *Radiologia*. 2012. PMID: 22633116

- 23. Meneses L, Fava M, Diaz P, et al. Embolization of incompetent pelvic veins for the treatment of recurrent varicose veins in lower limbs and pelvic congestion syndrome. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2013;36(1):128-32. PMID: 22547030
- 24. Laborda A, Medrano J, de Blas I, et al. Endovascular treatment of pelvic congestion syndrome: visual analog scale (VAS) long-term follow-up clinical evaluation in 202 patients. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2013;36(4):1006-14. PMID: 23456353
- 25. Nasser F, Cavalcante RN, Affonso BB, et al. Safety, efficacy, and prognostic factors in endovascular treatment of pelvic congestion syndrome. *International journal of gynaecology and obstetrics: the official organ of the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics*. 2014;125(1):65-8. PMID: 24486124
- 26. Hocquelet A, Le Bras Y, Balian E, et al. Evaluation of the efficacy of endovascular treatment of pelvic congestion syndrome. *Diagnostic and interventional imaging*. 2014;95(3):301-6. PMID: 24183954
- 27. Siqueira FM, Monsignore LM, Rosa ESJC, et al. Evaluation of embolization for periuterine varices involving chronic pelvic pain secondary to pelvic congestion syndrome. *Clinics (Sao Paulo, Brazil)*. 2016;71(12):703-08. PMID: 28076514
- 28. Pyra K, Wozniak S, Drelich-Zbroja A, et al. Evaluation of Effectiveness of Embolization in Pelvic Congestion Syndrome with the New Vascular Occlusion Device (ArtVentive EOS): Preliminary Results. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2016;39(8):1122-7. PMID: 27250353
- 29. Gavrilov SG, Sazhin A, Krasavin G, et al. Comparative analysis of the efficacy and safety of endovascular and endoscopic interventions on the gonadal veins in the treatment of pelvic congestion syndrome. *Journal of vascular surgery Venous and lymphatic disorders.* 2021;9(1):178-86. PMID: 32464289
- 30. Belczak SQ, Stefaniak V, Góes LG, et al. Improvement of semen parameters after coil embolization of varicoceles: a systematic review. *J Vasc Bras.* 2021;20:e20200137. PMID: 34093687
- 31. Kroese AC, de Lange NM, Collins J, et al. Surgery or embolization for varicoceles in subfertile men. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;10:CD000479. PMID: 23076888
- 32. Zampieri N, Chironi C, Sulpasso M. Treatment of varicocele with transfemoral retrograde sclero-embolization in pediatric patients under local anesthesia. *Minerva Pediatr.* 2015;67:227-9. PMID: 25018081
- 33. Bechara CF, Weakley SM, Kougias P, et al. Percutaneous treatment of varicocele with microcoil embolization: comparison of treatment outcome with laparoscopic varicocelectomy. *Vascular.* 2009;17 Suppl 3:S129-36. PMID: 19919803
- 34. Hawkins CM, Racadio JM, McKinney DN, et al. Varicocele retrograde embolization with boiling contrast medium and gelatin sponges in adolescent subjects: a clinically effective therapeutic alternative. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2012;23(2):206-10. PMID: 22177844
- 35. Heye S, Maleux G, Wilms G. Pain experience during internal spermatic vein embolization for varicocele: comparison of two cyanoacrylate glues. *European radiology*. 2006;16(1):132-6. PMID: 15999214
- 36. Kim J, Shin JH, Yoon HK, et al. Persistent or recurrent varicocoele after failed varicocoelectomy: outcome in patients treated using percutaneous transcatheter embolization. *Clin Radiol.* 2012;67(4):359-65. PMID: 22142501
- 37. Lord DJ, Burrows PE. Pediatric varicocele embolization. *Tech Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2003;6(4):169-75. PMID: 14767848

- 38. Puche-Sanz I, Flores-Martin JF, Vazquez-Alonso F, et al. Primary treatment of painful varicocoele through percutaneous retrograde embolization with fibred coils. *Andrology*. 2014;2(5):716-20. PMID: 25073877
- 39. Reiner E, Pollak JS, Henderson KJ, et al. Initial experience with 3% sodium tetradecyl sulfate foam and fibered coils for management of adolescent varicocele. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2008;19(2 Pt 1):207-10. PMID: 18341950
- 40. Storm DW, Hogan MJ, Jayanthi VR. Initial experience with percutaneous selective embolization: A truly minimally invasive treatment of the adolescent varicocele with no risk of hydrocele development. *Journal of pediatric urology.* 2010;6(6):567-71. PMID: 20149980
- 41. Urbano J, Cabrera M, Alonso-Burgos A. Sclerosis and varicocele embolization with N-butyl cyanoacrylate: experience in 41 patients. *Acta Radiol.* 2014;55(2):179-85. PMID: 23888063
- 42. Vanlangenhove P, Everaert K, Van Maele G, et al. Tolerance of glue embolization under local anesthesia in varicoceles: a comparative study of two different cyanoacrylates. *European journal of radiology*. 2014;83(3):559-63. PMID: 24374263
- 43. Gat Y, Bachar GN, Everaert K, et al. Induction of spermatogenesis in azoospermic men after internal spermatic vein embolization for the treatment of varicocele. *Hum Reprod.* 2005;20(4):1013-7. PMID: 15618245
- 44. Jargiello T, Drelich-Zbroja A, Falkowski A, et al. Endovascular transcatheter embolization of recurrent postsurgical varicocele: anatomic reasons for surgical failure. *Acta Radiol.* 2015;56(1):63-9. PMID: 24413222
- 45. Vanlangenhove P, De Keukeleire K, Everaert K, et al. Efficacy and safety of two different n-butyl-2-cyanoacrylates for the embolization of varicoceles: a prospective, randomized, blinded study. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2012;35(3):598-606. PMID: 21638147
- 46. Keene DJ, Cervellione RM. Antegrade sclerotherapy in adolescent varicocele patients. *Journal of pediatric urology.* 2017. PMID: 28215837
- 47. Bilreiro C, Donato P, Costa JF, et al. Varicocele embolization with glue and coils: A single center experience. *Diagnostic and interventional imaging*. 2017. PMID: 28185841
- 48. Paradiso FV, Mason EJ, Nanni L. Antegrade Sclerotherapy to Treat All Types of Varicoceles in the Pediatric Population: Experience of a Single Center. *Urology*. 2016;98:149-53. PMID: 27374731
- 49. Malekzadeh S, Fraga-Silva RA, Morere PH, et al. Varicocele percutaneous embolization outcomes in a pediatric group: 7-year retrospective study. *International urology and nephrology*. 2016;48(9):1395-9. PMID: 27363981
- 50. The American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). [cited 04/21/2023]. 'Available from:' <a href="http://www.acog.org/">http://www.acog.org/</a>.
- 51. Gloviczki P, Comerota AJ, Dalsing MC, et al. The care of patients with varicose veins and associated chronic venous diseases: clinical practice guidelines of the Society for Vascular Surgery and the American Venous Forum. *J Vasc Surg.* 2011;53(5 Suppl):2S-48S. PMID: 21536172

## **CODES**

**NOTE:** There are no specific codes for ovarian and internal iliac vein embolization; however, the following codes may be used:

Codes	Number	Description
CPT	36012	Selective catheter placement, venous system: second order or more selective, branch (eg, left adrenal vein, petrosal sinus)
	37241	Vascular embolization or occlusion, inclusive of all radiological supervision and interpretation, intraprocedural roadmapping, and imaging guidance necessary to complete the intervention; venous, other than hemorrhage (eg, congenital or acquired venous malformations, venous and capillary hemangiomas, varices, varicoceles)
	75894	Transcatheter therapy, embolization, any method, radiological supervision and interpretation
<b>HCPCS</b>	None	

Date of Origin: October 2005